## NMR Strategy for Unraveling Structures of Bioactive Sponge-Derived Oxy-polyhalogenated Diphenyl Ethers<sup> $\perp$ </sup>

Laurent Calcul,<sup>†</sup> Raymond Chow,<sup>†</sup> Allen G. Oliver,<sup>†</sup> Karen Tenney,<sup>†</sup> Kimberly N. White,<sup>†</sup> Alexander W. Wood,<sup>‡</sup> Catherine Fiorilla,<sup>‡</sup> and Phillip Crews<sup>\*,†</sup>

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064, and Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Received November 17, 2008

The overexpression of the Mcl-1 protein in cancerous cells results in the sequestering of Bak, a key component in the regulation of normal cell apoptosis. Our investigation of the ability of marine-derived small-molecule natural products to inhibit this protein-protein interaction led to the isolation of several bioactive oxy-polyhalogenated diphenyl ethers. A semipure extract, previously obtained from *Dysidea (Lamellodysidea) herbacea* and preserved in our repository, along with an untouched *Dysidea granulosa* marine sponge afforded 13 distinct oxy-polyhalogenated diphenyl ethers. Among these isolates were four new compounds, **5**, **6**, **10**, and **12**. The structure elucidation of these molecules was complicated by the plethora of structural variants that exist in the literature. During dereplication, we established a systematic method for analyzing this class of compounds. The strategy is governed by trends in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR shifts of the aromatic rings, and the success of the strategy was checked by X-ray crystal structure analysis.

There are several approaches that have been effective in guiding the discovery of anticancer therapeutic leads based on natural product scaffolds. One rich example is represented in the route taken by the Kingston team to further define the pharmacophore of tubulin inhibitors, such as paclitaxel, by combining synthesis with cancer biology studies.<sup>1</sup> A somewhat different tactic was employed in this project that involved the search for marine natural product inhibitors of the Bcl-2 family of proteins. Seven antiapoptotic family members have been identified to date and include Mcl-1, Bcl-X<sub>I</sub>, Bcl-2, Bcl-W, Bcl-B, Bcl-9 L, and Bfl-1.<sup>2</sup> It is believed that an important element in the apoptosis regulation mechanism involves the protein-protein interaction (PPI) of Bcl-2 family proteins with the pro-survival BH3-domain binding proteins such as Bak, Bax, Bid, Bim, or Noxa. There are several recent findings suggesting that Mcl-1 and Bcl-X<sub>L</sub> can be considered druggable targets since inactivation of either suffices for Bak-modulated cell death. A small set of low molecular weight natural products and synthetic compounds has been reported recently as Bcl-2 inhibitors. Significantly, three of the Bcl-2 inhibitors are being pursued in anticancer clinical trials, and these include (-)-gossypol<sup>3,4</sup> (phase I and II), ABT-263<sup>5</sup> (phase II), and obatoclax (phase II).<sup>6</sup> The presence of multiple aromatic rings with accompanying hydrogen-bonding functionality represents a conserved structural feature prominent in most of the Bcl-2 family inhibitors identified to date.

Several years ago we began a program to discover marine natural products capable of disrupting PPIs,<sup>7</sup> and this effort included screening of compounds that might disrupt the interaction between Mcl-1 and Bak. Our first results were encouraging and represented a proof-of-concept investigation. One aspect of the study involved the testing of the NCI diversity set of 2240 compounds. The hit rate of 0.76% (based on 17 active compounds, data to be reported elsewhere) indicated that our fluorescent resonance energy transfer (FRET) assay was functional and selective. There were several active samples identified during our screening of marine sponge extracts and semipurified fractions. We believed that it would be worthwhile to focus on extracts from *Dysidea granulosa* plus the semipure compounds obtained from *Dysidea (Lamellodysidea)* 

well-studied genera are characterized by the presence of a symbiotic cyanobacterium, Oscillatoria spongeliae.<sup>8-13</sup> This assemblage commonly produces three distinct classes of compounds: sesquiterpenes,<sup>14</sup> polychlorinated peptide derivatives,<sup>15,16</sup> and oxy-polyhalogenated diphenyl ethers (O-PHDEs).<sup>17</sup> Early on it was clear that the active principles were members of the latter category. Not only are these compounds prolific, their activity is dynamic, with a broad range of responses such as lipoxygenase inhibition,<sup>18</sup> antibacterial and antifungal,<sup>19–22</sup> antifouling,<sup>23</sup> and anti-inflammatory properties,<sup>24</sup> inhibition of a range of enzymes implicated in cancer,<sup>25,26</sup> and the restriction of the assembly of microtubules.<sup>27</sup> There is also evidence for bioaccumulation in marine mammals of synthetic O-PHDEs, widely used as commercial flame retardants.<sup>28</sup> Unexpectedly, the challenge of unequivocally establishing the complete structure of the O-PHDEs we isolated proved to be very challenging. Part of the difficulty is that they have an H/C ratio <1.29 To overcome this challenge, we have created an NMR data trends and dereplication paradigm. This model and the biological activity data obtained are described below.

herbacea that exhibited activity in a FRET primary screen. These

## **Results and Discussion**

Extracts from *Dysidea granulosa* and a repository sample from *Dysidea (Lamellodysidea) herbacea* that inhibited the protein—protein interaction of Mcl-1 and Bak were studied simultaneously. The crude extract from *D. granulosa* had a repeatable IC<sub>50</sub> of 4.1  $\mu$ g/mL and the sample mixture from *D. (Lamellodysidea) herbacea* an IC<sub>50</sub> of 2.1  $\mu$ g/mL in the FRET assay used. Purification and structure dereplication indicated that the bioactive constituents were O-PHDEs.

Initially, our plan was to engage in a straightforward dereplication because there are more than 42 known O-PHDEs derived from sponge—cyanobacterium associations. Immediately, we found that an orderly approach had not been previously described. In order to systematize this process, we classified the literature compounds into four structural types (I, II-1, II-2, and III) shown in Figure 1, on the basis of their core formulas. These structure types differ in the number of oxygens present and substitution variations. From these data, it is clear that O-PHDE-producing organisms follow a conserved biogenetic pathway as the ring B 1-position is always oxygenated. Also notable is that the inclusion of chlorine atoms is uncommon, and only structure type I includes chlorine as well as

© 2009 American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy Published on Web 02/13/2009

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup> $\perp$ </sup> Dedicated to Dr. David G. I. Kingston of Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University for his pioneering work on bioactive natural products.

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: 831-459-2603. Fax: 831-459-2935. E-mail: phil@chemistry.ucsc.edu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> University of California, Santa Cruz.

<sup>\*</sup> Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research.



bromine. Structure types I and II-1 represent the two most populated categories, with 19 and 21 O-PHDEs reported, respectively.

The O-PHDEs of Figure 1 can be further broken down on the basis of substitution into subtypes. All reported permutations of halogen, hydroxyl, and proton substitution for rings A and B are shown in Table 1 with the corresponding subtype. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C resonances are largely conserved according to substitution type in this class of compounds, and the data accompanying each structure represent an average of those reported in the literature. There are a total of six and 12 different known subtypes for rings A and B, respectively, to date.

It is important to note that certain O-PHDE types either must be given special consideration or cannot be elucidated using our NMR data trends (Table 1). One important case involves O-PHDEs that contain a methoxy group, because when present it has an unpredictable but often considerable effect on <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts. A second case involves molecules that contain ring subtypes B-9 and B-12, whose <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts are too similar to differentiate. In both situations mentioned above, we suggest that additional data must be used to secure structures.

The substituent in the 3-position of ring B must also be given special consideration. A cross-ring effect, which has been noted previously,<sup>30</sup> occurs when a bromine is located at C-3, influencing the proton shift at H-6'. Higher  $\delta_{\rm H}$  and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  values at the 6'-position ( $\delta_{\rm H} \approx 6.8-7.0$  and  $\delta_{\rm C} \approx 121$ ) are observed when the 3-position is occupied by a proton and lower values ( $\delta_{\rm H} \approx 6.4-6.5$  and  $\delta_{\rm C} \approx 115-117$ ) when a bromine is in the 3-position. In this case, assigning the structure of ring B must be accomplished first to properly consider this difference. It is essential to point out that a  $\delta_{\rm H} \approx 6.4-6.5$  can be assigned unambiguously to the 6'-position; no other O-PHDE aromatic protons occur so far upfield. Solving



**Figure 1.** Structure type of sponge-derived O-PHDEs based on core formulas (CF) and substitution pattern. Possible halogenation sites: **B**r,  $\blacktriangle$ Br or Cl. Core formula (CF) based on diphenyl ether and attached oxygens. R = H or CH<sub>3</sub>.

the constitution of ring B first and anticipating changes for the proton of the 6'-position allows for this dichotomy to be reliably overcome.

Once an unknown O-PHDE is assigned to a structure type and it is determined that the O-PHDE contains no methoxy groups, Table 1 may be applied. Analysis of the spin system for each ring, A and B, is also a useful step. For example, four protons can be attributed to an ABCD or AA'XX' system, as seen in subtypes A-1 and A-2. More complicated is the case when three protons populate the ring. Several ABX systems, subtypes A-3, A-4, B-1, B-2, and B-3, are possible, and they can be distinguished by the magnitude of the expected J values. The same strategy can be used to differentiate the two-spin system containing rings. The 1-position OH of ring B, which is conserved biogenetically, provides the anchor point to construct ring B and sometimes represents the best starting point before proceeding to analyze ring A.

A total of 13 O-PHDEs were isolated in this study (1-13b). These are divided into three new compounds (5, 6, and 10) devoid of methoxy substituents, one with a methoxy group (12) and not assignable using Table 1 and one structure we show definitively to be misassigned previously (13a revised to 13b). Although we will not detail the identification of the known compounds (1-4, 7-9, and 11),<sup>17,21,30-38</sup> it is important to point out that using Table 1 to solve the structures greatly expedited the recognition that they were already known. Further evidence that our approach was effective was shown by the X-ray crystallographic data shown in Figure 2, confirming the dereplicated structures for 4 and 7.

The first new metabolite to be analyzed was **5**, isolated from an impure compound sample obtained from *D. (Lamellodysidea) herbacea.* It was found to have at least 12 carbons (<sup>13</sup>C NMR), five protons, three of which were aromatic (<sup>1</sup>H NMR), and five bromines (molecular ion cluster analysis). This data, together with the ESIMS data, afforded the molecular formula  $C_{12}H_5O_3Br_5$ , making it a member of structure type II-1 (Figure 1). Two of the aromatic protons were *meta*-coupled (2.2 Hz), and the isolated proton belonged to ring B, as no A rings with a single hydrogen have been discovered to date. The observed proton at  $\delta_H$  7.77 clearly designated this as ring subtype B-11, with a bromine at C-3 (Table 1). For ring A, the *meta*-coupled protons were observed at  $\delta_H$  7.39 and 6.55, identifying the other half of the structure as ring subtype A-5, with the shift at  $\delta_H$  6.55 being attributed to H-6'. Thus,

Table 1. NMR (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) Data Trends at Protonated Positions of the Rings A and B from Known Sponge-Derived O-PHDEs



<sup>*a*</sup> Values for the 6'-position (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) are for 3-position = Br. <sup>*b*</sup> Values for the 6'-position (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) are for 3-position = H. <sup>*c*</sup>R = CH<sub>3</sub>. <sup>*d*13</sup>C value for only X = Br.



**Figure 2.** X-ray crystal structures of O-PHDEs **4**–**7** and **13b**. Hydroxyl groups in compounds **5** and **6** are involved in hydrogen bonding with water and dimethyl sulfoxide molecules, respectively (see Supporting Information). Thermal displacement ellipsoids are depicted at the 50% propability level.

compound **5** was a combination of A-5 and B-11. This result was also verified by the X-ray crystallographic analysis result shown in Figure 2.

The second new compound, **6**, was isomeric to **5**, had a molecular formula of  $C_{12}H_5O_3Br_5$ , and, analogous to **5**, had three aromatic protons as two separate spin systems. The isolated proton at  $\delta_H$ 

7.24 was indicative of ring type B-9 or B-12, but as mentioned previously, we were unable to distinguish between the two. The two *meta*-coupled A-ring protons ( $\delta_{\rm H} = 7.03$  and 7.48) could be attributed to either ring type A-6 or A-5 with a possible cross-ring deshielding adjustment described previously from the ring type A-3 (3- and 6'-positions = H).<sup>30,32</sup> This situation represents a limitation of our strategy, but the choices were narrowed to four alternatives (A-5/B-9, A-5/B-12, A-6/B-9, and A-6/B-12). The final structure was solved as ring types A-5 and B-9 through X-ray crystallographic analysis.

The accurate mass of compound 10 gave the molecular formula  $C_{12}H_5O_2ClBr_4$ . We proceeded with the structure elucidation under the assumption that substituting chlorine for bromine would have little effect on <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR values of nonchlorinated positions, as noted previously,31 and focused on using our NMR data trends to place hydrogen atoms and generic halogen atoms (Br or Cl). A single aromatic proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.55 and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  127.9 established ring B as subtype B-10, with a halogen at C-3. The ABX system <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR values matched chemical shifts for ring subtype A-3 very well, securing the A-3/B-10 structure. This molecular framework is identical to compound 3, but 3 contains only bromine atoms. Compounds 4 and 11, also isolated in this study, similarly differ only by the substitution of a chlorine for a bromine, and 11 was verified by a crystal structure.<sup>31</sup> The carbon chemical shift of the chlorinated 4-position is  $\delta_{\rm C}$  127.8 in compound 11, while the identical position in 4 is  $\delta_{\rm C}$  119.5; this downfield chemical shift can be attributed unambiguously to the presence of the chlorine atom. A similar downfield shift was observed in compounds 10 and **3** (from  $\delta_{\rm C}$  117.0 to 122.9) for C-3, indicating that the chlorine is located at the 3-position in compound **10**.

As noted above, our NMR trends strategy is not reliable for instances where a methoxy group is present. Such was the case with compound 12, and caution was exercised during elucidation. The molecular formula was established, via HRESIMS, as C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Br<sub>4</sub>. The isolated proton of ring B, based on Table 1, appears to occupy C-6, but this was a tentative assignment, and we recognized it would need corroboration. The HMBC correlations provided the additional essential data and consisted of those observed from the isolated proton to the oxygenated carbon of the ether (C-2,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  139.2), the carbon bearing the methoxy (C-4,  $\delta_{\rm C}$ 149.1), the phenolic carbon (C-1,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  146.7), and one brominated carbon (C-5,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  115.3). The intensity of the three-bond correlations, to ether C-2 and methoxy C-4, together with the deshielding effect seen across the ring (the 3-position is brominated) confirmed that the proton was located at the 6-position. The ABX system of ring A, which lacked a methoxy group, was easily correlated to the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts of ring subtype A-3, clinching the structure of novel compound 12. Interestingly, this compound does not correlate to any of the previously described O-PHDE structure types (Figure 1), and so we designate this additional structure type as II-3.

When known compound **13a** was isolated, containing the troublesome methoxy group, it was essential to double-check the published structural assignment. The isolated proton of ring B ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.76) resembled that of ring subtype B-11, but no HMBC correlation was seen to the methoxy-bearing carbon, as drawn three bonds away. We revised the structure, placing the isolated proton and the methoxy group *para* to each other. The original <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis<sup>37</sup> for **13a** was atypically run in acetone- $d_6$  (isolated proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.93), which does not match the large body of literature NMR values for O-PHDEs, primarily run in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. This revision to a structure correctly described previously as **13b**<sup>17,33</sup> was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis.

The Mcl-1 FRET assay data consisting of IC<sub>50</sub> results shown in Table 4 were used to screen fractions obtained during the purification steps leading to the pure compounds subsequently isolated. Initially we were optimistic that the 4.1  $\mu$ g/mL IC<sub>50</sub> activity

	outure types t and IT.	y, mug 1y			0-1 11DES 2, 4, 410 TO			5, V, 14J.	/ TATTED	
	$2^{a}$		4		10		<b>11</b> <sup><i>a</i></sup>		12	
#sod	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (int., m., J [Hz])	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (int., m., J [Hz])	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (int., m., J [Hz])	$\delta_{\rm c}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (int., m., J [Hz])	$\delta_{\rm c}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (int., m., J [Hz])	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$
-		144.6		149.2		147.4		148.6		146.7
2		143.5		139.7		139.4		139.9		139.2
3	7.80 (1H, d, 2.1)	119.9		$121.3^{b}$		122.9		118.9		113.5
4		112.1		119.5	7.55	127.9		127.8		149.1
5	7.45 (1H, d, 2.1)	130.4		123.1		120.1		120.7		115.3
9		111.0	7.44 (1H, s)	120.9		116.0	7.44 (1H, s)	120.8	7.31 (1H, s)	119.9
1′		151.7		151.9		152.4		152.0		152.7
2,		115.9		112.9		112.9		112.9		112.8
3,	7.81 (1H, d, 2.4)	136.7	7.79 (1H, d, 2.4)	136.5	7.78 (1H, d, 2.1)	136.4	7.80 (1H, d, 2.4)	136.5	7.79 (1H, d, 2.4)	136.4
<b>,</b> 4		118.4		116.5		116.2		116.5		116.2
5,	7.43 (1H, dd, 2.4, 8.7)	132.3	7.31 (1H, dd, 2.4, 9.0)	131.8	7.29 (1H, dd, 2.4, 8.7)	131.6	7.30 (1H, dd, 2.4, 9.0)	131.8	7.30 (1H, dd, 2.4, 9.0)	131.8
6,	6.89 (1H, d, 8.7)	121.8	6.41 (1H, d, 9.0)	116.0	6.43 (1H, d, 8.7)	116.0	6.42 (1H, d, 9.0)	116.0	6.43 (1H, 9.0)	116.1
HO	$\mathrm{nd}^{c}$		5.63 (1H, brs)		5.96 (1H, brs)		5.56 (1H, brs)		5.34 (1H, brs)	
$OCH_3$									3.86 (3H, s)	61.2
<sup>a</sup> The <sup>13</sup>	C data in previous literature	were either a	ubsent or not assigned. <sup>b</sup> The	<sup>13</sup> C data for p	osition-3 were previously m	isreported.36	<sup>c</sup> nd = not detected.			

Table 3. Structure Type II-1, Ring Type A-5: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR Data of the Sponge-Derived O-PHDEs 5-7 and 13b

	<b>5</b> <sup><i>a</i></sup>		<b>6</b> <sup>b</sup>		$7^{b}$		<b>13b</b> <sup>b</sup>	
pos#	$\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (int., m., J [Hz])	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (int., m., J [Hz])	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (int., m., J [Hz])	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (int., m., J [Hz])	$\delta_{ m C}$
1		148.5		145.1		153.7		152.7
2		140.7		143.0		139.6		145.1
3		119.4	7.24 (1H, s)	122.8		121.3		117.2
4		113.7		115.3		119.3	7.76 (1H, s)	132.3
5	7.77 (1H, s)	132.9		123.5		123.4		123.5
6		111.6		128.3	7.45 (1H, s)	121.1		121.7
1'		145.9		144.1		149.1		145.1
2'		144.2		144.1		142.9		142.7
3'		111.3		111.5		111.2		110.8
4'	7.39 (1H, d, 2.2)	128.3	7.48 (1H, d, 1.8)	131.2	7.42 (1H, 1.8)	130.2	7.38 (1H, d, 1.8)	129.6
5'		109.2		112.3		112.4		112.1
6'	6.55 (1H, d, 2.2)	115.6	7.03 (1H, d, 1.8)	121.8	6.65 (1H, d, 1.8)	117.3	6.57 (1H, d, 1.8)	117.1
OH	nd <sup>c</sup>		5.96 (1H, brs)		6.04 (1H, brs)		5.96	
OH	nd <sup>c</sup>		6.07 (1H, brs)		6.43 (1H, brs)			
OCH <sub>3</sub>					· · ·		3.81	61.8

<sup>*a*</sup> NMR in CDCl<sub>3</sub> + 1 drop CD<sub>3</sub>OD (<sup>1</sup>H, 600 MHz) and DMSO- $d_6$  (<sup>13</sup>C, 125.7 MHz). <sup>*b*</sup> NMR in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (<sup>1</sup>H, 600 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C, 125.7 MHz). <sup>*c*</sup> nd = not detected.

**Table 4.** Mcl-1 Inhibition Data for a Semipure Extract of *D. granulosa*, a Repository Fraction of *D.* (*Lamellodysidea*) *herbacea*, and Pure Compounds

sample	IC <sub>50</sub> (µg/mL)
05406XFD <sup>a</sup>	$4.1 \pm 0.6$
88098F <sup>b</sup>	$2.1 \pm 0.2$
$1^{b}$	$2.4 \pm 0.1$
$2^b$	8.9/>10
3	>10
$4^{b}$	7.3/ >10
5	>10
6	>10
7	>10
$8^b$	>10
<b>9</b> <sup>b</sup>	>10
13b	>10

<sup>a</sup> Quadruplicate runs. <sup>b</sup> Duplicate runs.

observed for the *D. granulosa* partition fraction, 05406XFD, would translate into the isolation of compounds with sub  $\mu$ g/mL activity. Another initially promising observation was that the repository sample coded as 88098F, whose IC<sub>50</sub> was 2.1  $\mu$ g/mL, was a mixture of six O-PHBEs including **5**–**9** and **13b**. Disappointingly, none of the isolated compounds possessed anticipated sub  $\mu$ g/mL activity. Only three compounds (**1**, **2**, **4**), of the 10 compounds tested twice, exhibited significant IC<sub>50</sub> values < 10  $\mu$ g/mL, with compound **1** showing the most important IC<sub>50</sub> of 2.1  $\mu$ g/mL. Further unexplained is the lack of follow-up activity of the compounds isolated from active sample 88098F. The absence of significant activity for the pure O-PHDEs from 88098F suggests that the strongest inhibition of 2.1  $\mu$ g/mL may be the result of a synergetic effect from a combination of O-PHDEs.

There have been more than nine previous studies dealing with sponges containing O-PHDEs. This record is summarized in Table 5 and shows that the genera Dysidea and Phyllospongia (order Dictyoceratida) are reliable sources of such compounds. The literature also contains cogent discussions about the involvement of the symbiont, O. spongeliae, in elaborating halogenated secondary metabolites, especially O-PHDEs. $^{39-41}$  The reports of 1, 2, and 4 from certain Dysidea and Phyllospongia species containing the symbionts indicate to us that the isolation of O-PHDEs can provide preliminary insights into the taxonomy of source sponges. In this context, we believe that the taxonomy of the unidentified Great Barrier Reef Australian sponge, a source of 1 and the unusually functionalized monochlorinated metabolite 11, should be reexamined.<sup>31</sup> In this study, we obtained 1 and 11 from a sponge collection firmly identified as D. granulosa. Thus, we suggest this unidentified sponge could be a D. granulosa specimen.

Our first foray into investigating new small-molecule inhibitors of the protein-protein interaction between Mcl-1 and Bak was encouraging. The purified O-PHDE compounds ultimately showed modest responses in the Mcl-1/Bak FRET screen. The data obtained also validated our approach of a parallel investigation of crude extracts alongside selected semipure samples taken from our repository. Other ongoing projects within our consortium will ultimately provide additional active chemotypes in this screen. The initially unanticipated challenge of unraveling the structures of  $C_{12}$ - $C_{13}$  containing O-PHDE compounds motivated us to create a mini NMR data trends summary (Tables 1) that should be of use to others. Early on, we found that the dereplication-structure elucidation of O-PHDEs was challenging because of a paucity of hydrogen atoms (H/C ratio is often <1) populating the diphenyl ether core. Employing Table 1 as a prime tool alongside an analysis of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR coupling patterns in the phenyl rings A and B provides a concise approach. However, there are limitations, as our NMR trends strategy cannot be extended to PDHEs containing methoxy substituents, yet it is reliable for compounds where Br has been replaced by Cl.

## **Experimental Section**

**General Experimental Procedures.** The NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, CD<sub>3</sub>OD, DMSO- $d_6$ , and acetone- $d_6$  on a Varian 500 and 600 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 125 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C. High- and low-resolution mass measurements were obtained respectively from a FAB and an ESI-TOF mass spectrometer. Semipreparative HPLC was performed on a 5  $\mu$ m C<sub>18</sub> column by using a single wavelength ( $\lambda = 254$  nm) for compound detection. X-ray crystallographic data were recorded on a Bruker APEX-II area detector diffractometer (Bruker AXS Inc.) with Mo K $\alpha$  radiation. Specific details are given in the crystallographic data summary (see Supporting Information).

Animal Material. Dysidea granulosa (coll. no. 05406, 400 g wet weight) was collected in November 2005 at Pocklington Reef (10°43.586' S: 155°51.911' E) using scuba in Milne Bay, Papua New Guinea. Collection depth was from 60 to 30 ft. The taxonomic identification of D. granulosa was performed by Dr. Nicole J. de Voogd, curator of the Natural History Museum in Leiden, The Netherlands. Dysidea (Lamellodysidea) herbacea (coll. no. 88098) was collected from Vim Levu, Fiji, in 1989.<sup>17</sup> Semipure fractions of this previously extracted specimen were in our repository and used in this study. The recent reclassification of the family Dysideidae has led to the formation of the novel genus Lamellodysidea,<sup>42</sup> which is marked by its massive, lamellate to digitate morphology and thin basal plate. This newly proposed taxonomic nomenclature is synonymous with many sponges previously designated as D. herbacea and is not yet widely recognized in the literature. Thus, we have chosen to indicate these sponges as Dysidea (Lamellodysidea) herbacea

**Extraction and Isolation.** Samples were preserved in the field according to our standard laboratory procedures<sup>43</sup> and stored at 4  $^{\circ}$ C until extraction was performed. The sponges were extracted with

Table 5. O-PHDEs Isolated in this Study from Dysidea granulosa, also Observed Previously from Other Sponges

		-	
l Previously from	Other Sponges		

compound	Dysidea species	Dysidea herbacea <sup>a</sup>	Dysidea chlorea <sup>a</sup>	Dysidea fragilis	Phyllospongia dendyi	unidentified sponge
1	•17,30,44	• <sup>32</sup>				•31
2	17,19,26,30,44	• <sup>17</sup>	•32		•27	
3		• <sup>36</sup>				
4	•17,30,36	• <sup>32</sup>		• <sup>35</sup>		
12						• <sup>31</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Sponges recently reclassified into the novel genus Lamellodysidea.<sup>42</sup>

hexanes (XFH), dichloromethane (XFD), and methanol (XFM) using an accelerated solvent extractor (ASE). The Mcl-1-active dichloromethane extract (05406XFD, 317 mg) was fractionated using several rounds of semipreparative reversed-phase HPLC. Isocratic conditions were employed with acetonitrile and water, each containing 0.1% formic acid. The fractionation (70:30 CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O) afforded 25 fractions. To accumulate more material, an additional dichloromethane extraction (05406XFMFD, 400 mg) from the methanol extract (XFM) was necessary. Fractions H6 (5.2 mg), H8 (80.4 mg), H10 (11.7 mg), and H18 (2.9 mg) provided respectively 12, 1, 2, and 3. Fraction H12 (20.0 mg) and H14 (8.2 mg) were each purified using 40:60 CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O and gave respectively 4 (3.8 mg), accompanied by 11 (5.0 mg) and 10 (3.8 mg). Compounds 5 (7.0 mg), 6 (7.0 mg), 7 (7.0 mg), 8 (199 mg), 9 (250 mg), and 13b (3.8 mg) were purified (70:30 CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O) from a Mcl-1-active semipure fraction found in our repository (88098F), previously extracted from D. (Lamellodysidea) herbacea.

**2-(2',4'-Dibromophenoxy)-3,5-dibromophenol (1):** white powder; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 600 MHz)  $\delta$  7.79 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H-3'), 7.35 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H-4), 7.30 (1H, dd, J = 9.0, 2.4 Hz, H-5'), 6.45 (1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz, H-6'), 7.22 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H-6), 5.56 (1H, brs, OH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 600 MHz)  $\delta$  10.85 (1H, brs, OH), 7.89 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H-3'), 7.41 (1H, dd, J = 9.0, 2.4 Hz, H-5'), 7.40 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H-4), 6.46 (1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz, H-6'), 7.17 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 125.7 MHz)  $\delta$  152.6 (C-1'), 152.1 (C-1), 138.4 (C-2), 135.1 (C-3'), 131.6 (C-5'), 125.1 (C-4), 119.5 (C-6), 118.7 (C-3), 118.0 (C-5), 115.9 (C-6'), 113.9 (C-4'), 111.6 (C-2'); ESIMS [M - H]<sup>-</sup> m/z 496.2 (18), 498.2 (73), 500.2 (100), 502.2 (65), 504.2 (17).

**2-(2',4'-Dibromophenoxy)-4,6-dibromophenol (2):** white powder; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (see Table 2); ESIMS  $[M - H]^- m/z$  496.2 (18), 498.2 (73), 500.2 (100), 502.2 (65), 504.2 (17).defau

**2-(2',4'-Dibromophenoxy)-3,5,6-tribromophenol (3):** white power; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 600 MHz)  $\delta$  7.78 (1H, d, J =2.1 Hz, H-3'), 7.55 (1H, s, H-4), 7.29 (1H, dd, J = 9.0, 2.1 Hz, H-5'), 6.42 (1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz, H-6'); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125.7 MHz)  $\delta$  152.4 (C-1'), 148.4 (C-1), 138.9 (C-2), 136.3 (C-3'), 131.6 (C-5'), 128.2 (C-4), 122.4 (C-5), 117.0 (C-3), 116.0 (C-4'), 116.0 (C-6'), 113.7 (C-6), 112.9 (C-2'); ESIMS [M - H]<sup>-</sup> m/z 574.1 (11), 576.1 (51), 578.1 (100), 580.1 (97), 582.1 (43), 584.1 (6); X-ray analysis (see Supporting Information).

**2-(2',4'-Dibromophenoxy)-3,4,5-tribromophenol (4):** white powder; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (see Table 2); ESIMS  $[M - H]^- m/z$  574.1 (11), 576.1 (51), 578.1 (100), 580.1 (97), 582.1 (43), 584.1 (6); X-ray analysis (see Supporting Information).

**2-(3',5'-Dibromo-2'-hydroxyphenoxy)-3,4,6-tribromophenol (5):** white powder recrystallized from acetonitrile as colorless needles; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (see Table 3); ESIMS  $[M - H]^- m/z 590.5 (11)$ , 592.5 (51), 594.5 (100), 596.5 (96), 598.7 (45), 600.7 (10); X-ray analysis (see Supporting Information).

**2-(3',5'-Dibromo-2'-hydroxyphenoxy)-4,5,6-tribromophenol (6):** white powder recrystallized from chloroform (+1 drop DMSO) as colorless needles; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (see Table 3); ESIMS [M – H]<sup>-</sup> m/z 590.5 (11), 592.5 (51), 594.5 (100), 596.5 (96), 598.7 (45), 600.7 (10); X-ray analysis (see Supporting Information).

**2-(3',5'-Dibromo-2'-hydroxyphenoxy)-3,4,5-tribromophenol (7):** white powder recrystallized from acetonitrile as colorless needles; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (see Table 3); ESIMS  $[M - H]^- m/z$  590.5 (11), 592.5 (51), 594.5 (100), 596.5 (96), 598.5 (45), 600.5 (10); X-ray analysis (see Supporting Information).

**2-**(3',5'-**Dibromo-2'-hydroxyphenoxy)-3,5,6-tribromophenol (8):** white powder; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD- $d_4$ , 600 MHz)  $\delta$  7.54 (1H, s, H-4), 7.34 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-4'), 6.48 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-6'); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 125.7 MHz)  $\delta$  150.5 (C-1), 146.0 (C-1'), 143.9 (C-2'), 138.8 (C-2), 128.3 (C-4'), 126.4 (C-4), 122.2 (C-5), 116.3 (C-3), 115.5 (C-6'), 114.5 (C-6), 111.1 (C-3'), 109.3 (C-5'); ESIMS [M – H]<sup>-</sup> m/z 590.5 (11), 592.5 (51), 594.5 (100), 596.5 (96), 598.5 (45), 600.5 (10).

**2-(3',5'-Dibromo-2'-hydroxyphenoxy)-3,4,5,6-tetrabromophenol (9):** white powder; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 600 MHz)  $\delta$  7.29 (1H, d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, H-4'), 6.41 (1H, d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, H-6'); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 125.7 MHz)  $\delta$  149.0 (C-1), 145.7 (C-1'), 143.9 (C-2'), 139.4 (C-2), 128.3 (C-4'), 125.5 (C-3), 120.2 (C-4), 117.3 (C-5), 115.6 (C-6), 115.5 (C-6'), 111.1 (C-3'), 109.5 (C-5'); ESIMS [M - H]<sup>-</sup> *m*/*z* 667.8 (5), 669.8 (31), 671.8 (76), 673.8 (100), 675.8 (73), 677.8 (29), 679.8 (5).

**2-(2',4'-Dibromophenoxy)-5,6-dibromo-3-chlorophenol (10):** white powder; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (see Table 2); ESIMS  $[M - H]^- m/z$  530.7 (14), 532.7 (61), 534.7 (100), 536.7 (80), 538.7 (31), 540.7 (4); HRFABMS  $[M - H]^- m/z$  534.6613 (calcd for  $C_{12}H_4O_2Cl^{79}Br_2^{81}Br_2$  534.6592).

**2-(2',4'-Dibromophenoxy)-3,5-dibromo-4-chlorophenol (11):** white powder; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (see Table 2); ESIMS  $[M - H]^- m/z$  530.7 (14), 532.7 (61), 534.7 (100), 536.7 (80), 538.7 (31), 540.7 (4); HRFABMS  $[M - H]^- m/z$  534.6613 (calcd for  $C_{12}H_4O_2Cl^{79}Br_2^{81}Br_2$  534.6592).

**2-(2',4'-Dibromophenoxy)-3,5-dibromo-4-methoxyphenol** (12): green gum; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (see Table 2); ESIMS  $[M - H]^$ *m*/*z* 526.7 (17), 528.7 (68), 530.7 (100), 532.7 (66), 534.7 (17); HRFABMS  $[M - H]^-$  *m*/*z* 530.7054 (calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Cl<sup>79</sup>Br<sub>2</sub><sup>81</sup>Br<sub>2</sub> 530.7088).

**2-**(3',5'-**Dibromo-2'-hydroxyphenoxy)-3,5,6-tribromoanisol (13b):** white powder recrystallized from acetone as colorless prisms; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 600 MHz)  $\delta$  7.93 (1H, s), 7.43 (1H, d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, H-4'), 6.78 (1H, d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, H-6'), 9.30 (1H, brs, OH), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 125.7 MHz)  $\delta$  155.6 (C-1), 146.9 (C-2), 145.9 (C-1'), 144.7 (C-2'), 133.0 (C-4), 130.0 (C-4'), 123.4 (C-5), 122.2 (C-6), 118.0 (C-3), 117.2 (C-6'), 111.8 (C-5'), 111.8 (C-3'), 62.2 (OCH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (see Table 3); ESIMS [M – H]<sup>-</sup> *m*/*z* 604.6 (7), 606.6 (46), 608.6 (100), 610.6 (89), 612.6 (51), 614.6 (11); X-ray analysis (see Supporting Information).

**FRET Assay.** A fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) assay system (LANCE) was used for identification of Mcl-1 inhibitors. Europium-labeled anti-GST (glutathione *S*-transferase) was used as the donor fluorophore, and APC (allophycocyanin)-labeled streptavidin was used as the acceptor fluorophore. Biotinylated Bak (Anaspec) peptide bound to Mcl-1 (Novartis) was co-incubated with potential Mcl-1 inhibitors, and the signals were read on an EnVision multilabel reader at 320 nm wavelength excitation, 665 nm primary emission, and 615 nm secondary emission.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by NIH grants R01-CA047135 and U19-CA52955 and NMR equipment grants from NSF CHE-0342912 and NIH S10-RR19918. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction data in this work were recorded on an instrument supported by the National Science Foundation, Major Research Instrumentation (MRI) Program, under Grant No. CHE-0521569. Additional support was provided by the MBRS program (Grant 23898-443-648). Special thanks to D. Porter from Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA, for bioactivity testing. We thank W. Boggess at the University of Notre Dame for providing FABHRMS measurements and R. G. Linington at the University of California Santa Cruz for negative mode ESIMS analysis. We would like to thank L. Matainaho, University of Papua New Guinea, and the crew and skipper (C. DeWitt) of the M/V Golden Dawn for assistance in specimen collection. Lastly, we commend K. V. Sashidhara at the University of California Santa Cruz and T. Amagata at San Francisco State University for stimulating discussions on the classification of O-PHDEs.

Supporting Information Available: Tables and figures are provided that include mass and NMR studies and X-ray crystallographic information. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## **References and Notes**

- (1) Ganesh, T.; Yang, C.; Norris, A.; Glass, T.; Bane, S.; Ravindra, R.; Banerjee, A.; Metaferia, B.; Thomas, S. L.; Giannakakou, P.; Alcaraz, A. A.; Lakdawala, A. S.; Snyder, J. P.; Kingston, D. G. I. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 713-725.
- Willis, S. N.; Chen, L.; Dewson, G.; Wei, A.; Naik, E.; Fletcher, J. I.; (2)Adams, J. M.; Huang, D. C. S. Genes Dev. 2005, 19, 1294-1305.
- (3)Wolter, K. G.; Verhaegen, M.; Fernandez, Y.; Nikolovska-Coleska, Z.; Riblett, M.; de la Vega, C. M.; Wang, S.; Soengas, M. S. Cell Death Differ. 2007, 14, 1605-1616.
- Kitada, S.; Leone, M.; Sareth, S.; Zhai, D. Y.; Reed, J. C.; Pellecchia, (4)M. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 4259-4264.
- (5) Tse, C.; Shoemaker, A. R.; Adickes, J.; Anderson, M. G.; Chen, J.; Jin, S.; Johnson, E. F.; Marsh, K. C.; Mitten, M. J.; Nimmer, P.; Roberts, L.; Tahir, S. K.; Mao, Y.; Yang, X. F.; Zhang, H. C.; Fesik, S.; Rosenberg, S. H.; Elmore, S. W. Cancer Res. 2008, 68, 3421-3428
- (6) Nguyen, M.; Marcellus, R. C.; Roulston, A.; Watson, M.; Serfass, L.; Madiraju, S. R. M.; Goulet, D.; Viallet, J.; Belec, L.; Billot, X.; Acoca, S.; Purisima, E.; Wiegmans, A.; Cluse, L.; Johnstone, R. W.; Beauparlant, P.; Shore, G. C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2007, 104, 19512-19517.
- Lepourcelet, M.; Chen, Y. N. P.; France, D. S.; Wang, H. S.; Crews, (7)P.; Petersen, F.; Bruseo, C.; Wood, A. W.; Shivdasani, R. A. Cancer Cell 2004, 5, 91-102.
- (8) Thacker, R. W.; Starnes, S. Mar. Biol. 2003, 142, 643-648.
- Becerro, M. A.; Paul, V. J. Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser. 2004, 280, 115-(9)128.
- (10) Becerro, M. A.; Starmer, J. A.; Paul, V. J. J. Chem. Ecol. 2006, 32, 1491 - 1500.
- (11) Unson, M. D.; Faulkner, D. J. Experientia 1993, 49, 349-353.
- (12) Unson, M. D.; Holland, N. D.; Faulkner, D. J. Mar. Biol. 1994, 119, 1 - 11
- (13) Flatt, P.; Gautschi, J.; Thacker, R.; Musafija-Girt, M.; Crews, P.; Gerwick, W. *Mar. Biol.* **2005**, *147*, 761–774. (14) Alvi, K. A.; Diaz, M. C.; Crews, P.; Slate, D. L.; Lee, R. H.; Moretti,
- R. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6604-6607.
- (15) Clark, W. D.; Crews, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 1185-1188.
- (16) Sauleau, P.; Retailleau, P.; Vacelet, J.; Bourguet-Kondracki, M. L. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 955-963.
- (17) Fu, X. O.; Schmitz, E. J.; Govindan, M.; Abbas, S. A.; Hanson, K. M.; Horton, P. A.; Crews, P. J. Nat. Prod. 1995, 58, 1384-1391.
- (18)Segraves, E. N.; Shah, R. R.; Segraves, N. L.; Johnson, T. A.; Whitman, S.; Sui, J. K.; Kenyon, V. A.; Cichewicz, R. H.; Crews, P.; Holman, T. R. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 4060-4065.

- (19) Handayani, D.; Edrada, R. A.; Proksch, P.; Wray, V.; Witte, L.; Van Soest, R. W. M.; Kunzmann, A. Soedarsono J. Nat. Prod. 1997, 60, 1313-1316.
- (20) Salva, J.; Faulkner, D. J. J. Nat. Prod. 1990, 53, 757-760.
- (21) Sharma, G. M.; Vig, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 17, 1715-&.
- (22) Sionov, E.; Roth, D.; Sandovsky-Losica, H.; Kashman, Y.; Rudi, A.; Chill, L.; Berdicevsky, I.; Segal, E. J. Infect. 2005, 50, 453-460.
- (23) Hattori, T.; Konno, A.; Adachi, K.; Shizuri, Y. Fish. Sci. 2001, 67, 899-903
- (24)Sera, Y.; Iida, S.; Adachi, K.; Shizuri, Y. Mar. Biotechnol. 2000, 2, 314-318.
- (25) Kuniyoshi, M.; Yamada, K.; Higa, T. Experientia 1985, 41, 523-524.
- (26) Xu, Y. M.; Johnson, R. K.; Hecht, S. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2005, 13, 657-659.
- (27) Liu, H. W.; Namikoshi, M.; Meguro, S.; Nagai, H.; Kobayashi, H.; Yao, X. S. J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 472-474.
- (28) Vetter, W.; Stoll, E.; Garson, M. J.; Fahey, S. J.; Gaus, C.; Muller, J. F. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2002, 21, 2014-2019.
- (29)White, K. N.; Amagata, T.; Oliver, A. G.; Tenney, K.; Wenzel, P. J.; Crews, P. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 8719-8722.
- (30) Fu, X.; Schmitz, F. J. J. Nat. Prod. 1996, 59, 1102-1103.
- (31) Capon, R.; Ghisalberti, E. L.; Jefferies, P. R.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 17, 2464-2467.
- (32) Carte, B.; Faulkner, D. J. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 2335-2339.
- (33) Norton, R. S.; Croft, K. D.; Wells, R. J. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 2341-2349.
- (34) Francesconi, K. A.; Ghisalberti, E. L. Aust. J. Chem. 1985, 38, 1271-1277
- (35) Utkina, N. K.; Kazantseva, M. V.; Denisenko, V. A. Khim. Prir. Soedin. 1987, 603-605.
- (36) Bowden, B. F.; Towerzey, L.; Junk, P. C. Aust. J. Chem. 2000, 53, 299 - 301
- Hanif, N.; Tanaka, J.; Setiawan, A.; Trianto, A.; de Voogd, N. J.; (37)Murni, A.; Tanaka, C.; Higa, T. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 432-435.
- Sharma, G. M.; Vig, B.; Burkholder, P. R. Food-Drugs from the Sea: (38)Proceedings of the Marine Technology Society; Washington, DC, 1969.
- (39) Ridley, C. P.; Bergquist, P. R.; Harper, M. K.; Faulkner, D. J.; Hooper, J. N. A.; Haygood, M. G. Chem. Biol. 2005, 12, 397-406.
- (40) Ridley, C. P.; Faulkner, D. J.; Haygood, M. G. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2005, 71, 7366-7375.
- (41) Clark, W. D. Investigation of Halogenated Constituents Isolated from Marine Sponges Associated with Cyanobacterial Symbionts. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Santa Cruz, 1997.
- (42) Hooper, J. N. A.; Van Soest, R. W. M. Systema Porifera: A Guide to the Classifications of Sponges; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, 2002; Vol. 1, pp 1061-1066.
- (43) Sperry, S.; Valeriote, F. A.; Corbett, T. H.; Crews, P. J. Nat. Prod. 1998, 61, 241-247.
- (44) Zhang, H.; Skildum, A.; Stromquist, E.; Rose-Hellekant, T.; Chang, L. C. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 262-264.

NP800737Z